HIV and Hormonal Contraception



Southern African Clinicians Society 2012

Professor Helen Rees

Executive Director, Wits Reproductive Health & HIV Institute Personal Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Witwatersrand Honorary Professor, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine



"Love is the answer, but while you are waiting for the answer, sex raises some pretty good questions." Woody Allen

What do we know about contraception?

Women have a right to decide whether they want to become pregnant and bear children irrespective of their HIV status. Women must be enabled to make informed, voluntary decisions about contraception and then receive a safe, effective method of her choice.



Contraception and Maternal Mortality



WHO 2010

Many women use hormonal methods



Over 150 million women use hormonal contraception worldwide, primarily oral contraceptives (OCs) and injectable depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA).

The overall demand for contraception is increasing



The importance of some reasons for non-use has changed over time

% of married women aged 15-49 with unmet need



□ Lack of knowledge ■ Health/side effects ■ Opposition

Women's choice of method is influenced by age, relationship status and health services



Contraception is the neglected second element of PMTCT

Prevention of HIV in women, especi ally young women	Prevention of unintended pregnancies in HIV- infected women	Prevention of transmission from an HIV- infected woman to her infant	Support for mother and family
Element 1	Element 2	Element 3	Element 4

We are not very good at offering HIV positive women modern contraceptive methods

851 <u>non-pregnant</u> women on different ARV regimens recruited from 4 WRHI-supported sites between August 2009 – January 2010 *Schwartz, Black, Rees et al 2011*

Contraceptive Use	n (%)
Consistent condom use	540 (63.5%)
Injectables	175 (20.6%)
Oral contraceptives	45 (5.3%)
Implants	4 (0.5%)
IUDs	1 (0.1%)
Dual (Condoms+HC)	131 (15.4%)
Overall	631 (74.1%)



How far can we push Dual Method use? Condom use at last sexual intercourse, amongst injectable contraception users



Source: Demographic and Health Surveys 2004-1010

Hormonal Contraception and HIV: Considerations

Hormonal Contraception and HIV: Considerations



WHO's Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use







Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive use: WHO Classifications

Classification of Conditions	Definition
1	No restriction on use
2	Benefits generally outweigh risks
3	Risks generally outweigh benefits
4	Unacceptable health risk

What triggered the concerns about hormonal contraception and HIV?

Progesterone implants enhance SIV vaginal transmission and early virus load

Preston A. Marx^{1,2}, Alexander I. Spira^{1,2}, Agegnehu Gettie¹, Peter J. Dailey³, Ronald S. Veazey⁴, Andrew A. Lackner⁴, C. James Mahoney⁵, Christopher J. Miller⁶, Lee E. Claypool⁷, David D. Ho¹ & Nancy J. Alexander⁸ Progesterone Nature Med., 1996

Progestin-based contraceptive suppresses cellular immune responses in SHIV-infected rhesus macaques

Nataliya Trunova^a, Lily Tsai^a, Stephanie Tung^a, Eric Schneider^a, Janet Harouse^a, Agegnehu Gettie^a, Viviana Simon^a, James Blanchard^b, Cecilia Cheng-Mayer^{a,*}

Abrogation of Attenuated Lentivirus-Induced Protection in Rhesus Macaques by Administration of Depo-Provera before Intravaginal Challenge with Simian Immunodeficiency Virus mac239

Kristina Abel,¹² Tracy Rourke,¹² Ding Lu,¹² Kristen Bost,¹² Michael B. McChesney,¹⁵ and Christopher J. Miller¹²³⁴

- Genescà et al., J. Med. Primatol. , 2007
 - Mascola et al., Nature Med. 2000
 - Veazey et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008
 - Pal et al., Virology 2009
 - Turville et al., PLoS One 2008

 DMPA Virology, 2006

DMPA J. Infect. Dis., 2004

Reported effects of progesterone and its derivatives on immune system & HIV-1 infection.

Reported effect of progesterone or its derivatives	References
Inhibition of IgG and IgA production and trans-epithelial transport	(78;87-96;129-134)
Decreased frequency of antibody-secreting cells in women and female macaques	(90;96)
Decreased specific IgG and IgA responses following mucosal immunization with attenuated HSV-2; induction of permissive conditions for intravaginal infection of mice with HSV-2 and <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	(132-134)
Inhibition of T cell responses and cytotoxic activity	(139-143;147)
Inhibition of perforin expression in T cells	(140-142;144-146)
Decreased proliferation and Th1-type cytokine production by VZV-specific CD4 ⁺ T cells in HIV-1 patients	(148)
Altered migration and decreased activity of NK cells	(105;106;106;135;159;251;252)
PIBF-mediated shift towards Th2 cytokine expression profile	(133;149-154)
Altered migration and infiltration of lymphocytes, macrophages, and NK cells into the female genital tract tissues	(117;118;157;158;183;191;253)
Increased expression of CCR5 on cervical CD4 ⁺ lymphocytes	(81;82)
Thinning of cervico-vaginal epithelium in rhesus macaques	(42;66)
Increased frequency of Langerhans cells in vaginal epithelium	(76;77)
Regulation of HIV replication and LTR activity	(254)
Suppression of IL-1, IL-2, and IL-6 release by human lymphocytes	(148;177)
Inhibition of TLR-9-induced IFN- α production by human and mouse pDCs	(162)
Increased shedding of HIV-1 in the genital tract	(35-37)
Decreased FcyR expression on monocytes	(159;160)
Decreased vaginal colonization with H ₂ O ₂ -producing <i>Lactobacillus</i>	(70)

Hel Z. et al., Endocrine Rev., 2010, 79-97

Serum progestin levels in different hormonal contraceptives



20

Biology?

- Vaginal and cervical epithelium (mucosal thickness, cervical ectopy, etc.)
- Changes in cervical mucus
- Menstrual patterns
- Vaginal and cervical immunology
- Viral (HIV) replication
- Acquisition of other STI that may serve as mediators
- However, data are often sparse or potentially could point in different directions, and, most importantly, no laboratory study would be sufficient for this question....

WHO Medical Eligibility Criteria 2009

- Combined hormonal contraception use for women at high risk of HIV, HIV infected or AIDS
- "Intermediate" level of evidence
- Category 1 "No Restriction" apart form women taking ARVs

WHO Medical Eligibility Criteria 2009

ARV	COC/P/R	CIC	РОР	DMPA/ NET-EN	LNG/ETG Implants	CU II	JD	LNG	IUD
NRTIS	1	1	1	1 1	1	l 2/3	C 2	l 2/3	C 2
NNRTIS	2	2	2	1 2	2	l 2/3	C 2	l 2/3	C 2
Ritonavir boosted protease inhibitors	3	3	3	1 2	2	l 2/3	C 2	l 2/3	C 2

WHO Medical Eligibility Criteria 2009

- Injectable progestins for women at high risk of HIV, HIV infected or AIDS
- "Intermediate" level of evidence
- Category 1 "No Restriction" apart from women taking ARVs

"Balance of evidence suggests no association between progestin contraceptives, although studies of DMPA use conducted among higher risk populations have repeated inconsistent findings"

Studies of Progestin Injectables & HIV Acquisition



Source: Adapted from Polis (2011)

Use of hormonal contraceptives and risk of HIV-1 transmission: a prospective cohort study

W

Renee Heffron, Deborah Donnell, Helen Rees, Connie Celum, Nelly Mugo, Edwin Were, Guy de Bruyn, Edith Nakku-Joloba, Kenneth Ngure, James Kiarie, Robert W Coombs, Jared M Baeten, for the Partners in Prevention HSV/HIV Transmission Study Team*

Summary

Background Hormonal contraceptives are used widely but their effects on HIV-1 risk are unclear. We aimed to assess the association between hormonal contraceptive use and risk of HIV-1 acquisition by women and HIV-1 transmission from HIV-1-infected women to their male partners.

Methods In this prospective study, we followed up 3790 heterosexual HIV-1-serodiscordant couples participating in two longitudinal studies of HIV-1 incidence in seven African countries. Among injectable and oral hormonal contraceptive users and non-users, we compared rates of HIV-1 acquisition by women and HIV-1 transmission from women to men. The primary outcome measure was HIV-1 seroconversion. We used Cox proportional hazards regression and marginal structural modelling to assess the effect of contraceptive use on HIV-1 risk.

Published Online October 4, 2011 DOI:10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70247-X See Online/Comment DOI:10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70254-7 *Members listed at end of paper Department of Epidemiology (R Heffron MPH, Prof C Celum MD, I M Baeten MD), Global Health



Contraception and HIV acquisition from men to women

		Adjusted Cox PH Regression analysis		
	HIV incidence per 100 person years	HR (95% CI)	P-value	
No hormonal contraception	3.78	1.00		
Any hormonal contraception	6.61	1.98 (1.06 – 3.68)	0.03	
Injectables	6.85	2.05 (1.04 – 4.04)	0.04	
Oral contraceptives	5.94	1.80 (0.55 – 5.82)	0.33	

21.2% of women used HC at least once during study

Contraception and HIV acquisition from women to men

		Adjusted Cox PH Regression analysis			
	HIV incidence per 100 person years	HR (95% CI)	P-value		
No hormonal contraception	1.51	1.00			
Any hormonal contraception	2.61	1.97 (1.12 – 3.45)	0.02		
Injectables	2.64	1.95 (1.06 – 3.55)	0.03		
Oral contraceptives	2.50	2.09 (0.75 – 5.84)	0.16		

Strengths and limitations

• Strengths

- Large cohort
- Frequent measurement of HIV, contraceptive use and sexual behavior
- Very high rates of follow up (>90% retention)
- HIV negative partners knew they were being exposed to HIV <u>& all</u> were exposed
- Attention to confounding factors using multiple statistical techniques (multiple additional analyses demonstrate consistent findings)
- First report of female to male transmission and partial biological explanation from increased genital viral loads

• Limitations

- Observational data
- Inability to distinguish between types of injectables used
- Limited data on oral contraceptive risk
- Limited number of infections among those using contraception



Studies of Progestin Injectables & HIV Acquisition, 2011



Source: Adapted from Polis (2011)

If the data is real then the choice for an HIV Uninfected Woman

- If she uses injectable progestins
 - Less risk of pregnancy
 - More risk of HIV acquisition
- If she stops injectable progestins
 - Does she have other contraceptive options?
 - If not, she may become pregnant
 - More risk of HIV acquisition
 - More risk of pregnancy morbidity & mortality
 - Unwanted pregnancy may have worse infant outcomes



If the data is real then the choice for an HIV Infected Woman

- If she uses injectable progestins
 - Less risk of pregnancy
 - More risk of HIV transmission to partner
- If she stops injectable progestins
 - Does she have other contraceptive options?
 - If not she may become pregnant
 - More risk of HIV transmission to partner
 - More risk of pregnancy Morbidity & Mortality
 - Potential for transmission to infant
 - Unwanted HIV infected babies have higher morbidity and mortality than wanted infants





WHO Expert Consultation on HC and HIV

- Jan 2012, Geneva, 75 participants from 18 countries
 - HIV Acquisition
 - HIV Transmission
 - HIV Progression
- GRADE rating of the evidence
- Programmatic and research implication



Studies assessing COCs and progression to AIDS OR mortality (Adjusted hazard ratio)



Studies assessing progestin injectables and progression to AIDS (Adjusted hazard ratio)



*Actual use analysis +DMPA, NET-EN or implant **Mostly OCs

WHO Expert Consultation on HC and HIV

- HC/HIV transmission evidence
 - Rated "low overall quality"
 - Category 1



WHO Expert Consultation on HC and HIV

- Injectable progestins and HIV acquisition evidence
 - 8 cohort studies met minimum quality criteria
 - Rated "low overall quality" but better studies tended towards harm
 - Major focus of meeting



The Critique of the studies on Injectable progestins and HIV acquisition

- Observational data
- Possible selection bias
- Potential for Confounding
- Not always primary study endpoint
- HC use not always well documented
- Self reported condom use unreliable
- Condom use differed between non-HC arms and HC arms

Differential condom misreporting



From: JA Smith, R Heffron, AR Butler, JM Baeten, TB Hallett (unpublished)

Progestin injectables and HIV acquisition: The Great Debate

1. If left an MEC 1 – no change implies that the data are not convincing enough to support even theoretical concerns about injectable progestins and HIV acquisition

2. If moved to MEC 2 – a change implies that there are theoretical concerns which still allows use but if misunderstood might scare women and jeopardize global use without many alternatives being available

3. The meeting was divided between 1 & 2



Hormonal contraception and HIV Technical statement

WHO statement February 2012

After detailed, prolonged deliberation...

xecutive summary

tion.

Expa twee will

...the group agreed that the data were not sufficiently conclusive to change current guidance.

However, because of the inconclusive nature of the evidence, women using progestogen-only injectable contraception should be strongly advised to *also always* use condoms...

ay be at increased risk of HIV acquisition, other studies do not show this associaon. A WHO expert group reviewed all the available evidence and agreed that the da

The group further wished to draw the attention of policymakers and programme managers to the potential seriousness of the issue and the complex balance of risks and benefits.



ts. The group noted the importance of hormonal contraceptives and of HIV prevention public health and emphasized the need for individuals living with or at risk of HIV to

Expansion of contraceptive method mix and further research on the relationship between hormonal contraception and HIV infection is essential.

42

What then happened?.....



What then happened.....

- Women's health activists, women's organisations and journalists said they did not understand the Category '1' and the clarification
- Requested clarity on the messaging that should be given to women users
- Widespread calls for increasing the method mix in developing countries and less dependency on injectables
- Researchers and donors considering an RCT of progestins versus IUD as a definitive study
- And the modellers are involved.....

Where does high HIV prevalence coincide with high use of injectable hormonal contraceptives?



From: AR Butler, JA Smith, D Stanton, TB Hallett. The global impact of an interaction between injectable hormonal contraception and HIV risk

HIV infections attributable to hypothesised IHC-HIV interaction per year (% of total new infections) OR=1.2



infections attributable to injectable hormonal contraceptive use

Excess live births & maternal deaths per year on cessation of injectable HC use (% of current number



Regions with a <u>high birth rate and high IHC use</u> have the largest % increase in live births on stopping IHC use

Regions with <u>high maternal mortality and high IHC use</u> have the largest % increase in maternal deaths on stopping IHC use

Net effect: 80% women stopping IHC are reassigned to effective alternative contraceptive



Reduction in AIDS deaths outweighs changes in maternal deaths with highly effective alternative contraceptive.

From: JA Smith, AR Butler, D Stanton, TB Hallett (unpublished)

Next Steps?

- More research
 - Randomized trial: other progestins including NET-EN, implants and DMPA
 - Observational analyses
 - Biologic studies
 - Combination prevention technologies
- More action
 - Change the method mix and reduce dependency on DMPA
 - Integrated family planning and HIV prevention and HCT
 - Messaging for women



Consider this hypothetical.....

statin when newer statins with the same efficacy and fewer side effects were available, and the higher dose made men.....

- Put on weight
- Made their hair temporarily stop growing
- And it took 9 months to return to normal
- AND may possibly increase HIV risk

How long would the marketplace tolerate this?

Thanks to.....



Women stop and start contraceptive methods

189 progestin injectable users followed up for 2 years in family planning clinic in Soweto

Status	1 year		2 years	
	(%)	n	(%)	n
Continued	42	79	21	39
Lost to follow up	30	57	35	67
Discontinued	28	48	41	78
Withdrew	2	5	2	5

Of those who discontinued:

- 40% 'taking a break'
- >50% complained of side effects



Beksinska, Rees et al. Contraception 64(2001)